

Teacher Training: Prejudice Conscience – Diversity and Empowerment; Troisdorf, Germany

Preparatory work (please rank from 1 (least satisfactory) to 5 (mo	st satisfactory)
Was sufficient information supplied before the meeting?	
Did you complete the tasks you were supposed to deliver before the meeting?	
The meeting (please rank from 1 (least satisfactory) to 5 (most satisfactory)	atisfactory)
Did the meeting give adequate time to introductions and finding out the background of the partners?	
Were you able to contribute to the discussion and decision making?	
Did the meeting adhere to the agenda?	
Were the goals of the meeting achieved?	
Other factors (please rank from 1 (least satisfactory) to 5 (most s	satisfactory)
What did you think of the working environment?	
What did you think of the social element?	
We got to know each other better (professionally)	
Follow-up (please rank from 1 (least satisfactory) to 5 (most satisfactory)	:isfactory)
There is a clear and reasonable timetable in place	
I understand my role in the project	
What good practices/activities were important for you?	
What do you consider to be the main strength of this project meeting?	
Were there any weak points?	
If so please suggest some measures or ways for solving the problem(s)	
22 2.202 22.002 22.00	
Any additional comments?	



Teacher Training: Prejudice Conscience – Diversity and Empowerment; Troisdorf, Germany